

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/996,617 11/27/2001 John Bertin 07334-340001 / 5703 MPI99-258C 26161 10/01/2003 7590 FISH & RICHARDSON PC EXAMINER 225 FRANKLIN ST MCGARRY, SEAN BOSTON, MA 02110 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 1635 DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | - | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/996,617 | | BERTIN, JOHN | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | Sean R McG | arry | 1635 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| <u></u> | sive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | ——· This action is no | n-final. | | | |
| <u> </u> | , — | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| · | S) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsp | nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) 5) 6) | Notice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/996,617

Art Unit: 1635

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method for identifying a compound which modulates the interaction between CARD-7 and CARD-5, classify abled in class 435, subclass 4.
- II. Claim 12, drawn to a method of treating a disorder associated with inappropriate apoptosis via modulation of the expression or activity of CARD-7, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to a method of treating a disorder associated with the inappropriate apoptosis via the modulation of the expression or activity of CARD-8, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (II and II) and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to the use of a materially different gene product. Inventions I and II are drawn to CARD-7 and invention III is drawn to CARD-8. CARD-7 and CARD-8 are proteins that have different structures

Art Unit: 1635

(sequences) and have specific biological properties that are not shared. Neither protein would interchangeable for any particular method, for example.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are mutually different methods. The two methods comprises different methods steps where these step lead to different ends. The method of Group I, for example, results in the identification of a compound that modulates the interaction between CARD-7 and CARD-5 and the method of Group II ends in the treatment of a disease or condition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (703)305-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SRM

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

635